Oral Oncology 65 (2017) 16-22

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Oral Oncology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/oraloncology





NCOLOGY

Leandros-Vassilios Vassiliou^{a,*}, Bagrat Lalabekyan^a, Amrita Jay^a, Colin Liew^a, Jeremy Whelan^a, Laurence Newman^b, Nicholas Kalavrezos^a

^a University College London Hospital (UCLH), London, UK ^b The Queen Victoria Hospital, East Grinstead, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 August 2016 Received in revised form 1 December 2016 Accepted 4 December 2016 Available online 18 December 2016

Keywords: Sarcoma Osteosarcoma Head and neck Oncology Oral Mandible Maxilla Maxillofacial

ABSTRACT

Background: Sarcomas are tumours of mesenchymal origin, accounting for 1% of all malignancies. *Methods:* This is a retrospective analysis of 107 head and neck sarcoma cases, treated over a period of thirteen years.

Results: Fifty-four patients had with craniofacial bone sarcomas (BSs) (male: 33; female: 21) with high grade osteosarcoma being the most predominant type. The soft tissue sarcomas (STS) (53 patients; male: 28, female: 25) were histologically diverse with rhabdomyosarcomas and myxofibrosarcomas being the predominant types. The majority of BSs were managed with neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery, whereas in STSs treatment included predominantly surgery followed by radiotherapy. Overall survival estimates were 79% at 2 years and 64% at 5 years (mean follow-up period was 48 months).

Conclusions: The mesenchymal origin of sarcomas, the pattern of disease spread and the different extent of cancellous bone infiltration in contrast to epithelial tumours, dictate distinct principles for surgical clearance.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Sarcomas are malignant neoplasms of mesenchymal origin that comprise less than 1% of all cancers. They demonstrate aggressive biological behaviour, with the majority being locally invasive with significant potential for metastasis [1,2]. Sarcomas are generally divided into bone sarcomas (BS) and soft tissue sarcomas (STS).

The overall annual incidence of BSs is 8/10⁶. On average 38 BSs of the skull and facial skeleton are diagnosed in England annually. These account for 10% of all BS [1,3]. There is a male predilection and a bimodal age-specific distribution (second and third decade). Osteosarcomas, Ewing sarcoma and chondrosarcoma are the main histological subtypes [1].

The overall annual incidence of STSs is $30/10^6$, with slight male predominance and the estimated median age at presentation is 65 years [1,4,5]. On average 190 STSs of the head and neck region are diagnosed annually in England, accounting for 9% of all soft tissue sarcomas [4]. They are histologically diverse with more than 50 described subtypes [1].

E-mail address: leandros.vassiliou@nhs.net (L.-V. Vassiliou).

Of all adult sarcomas, only 5–15% occur in the head and neck region, with 5-year survival rates ranging from 27% to 84% in various studies [6–10].

The mainstay of treatment for BS is radical surgery, preceded by neo-adjuvant chemotherapy for high grade tumours. This treatment model is an extrapolation of the management applied to long bone sarcomas especially in limb-sparing surgery [11]. The aim of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in BS of the head and neck is twofold: elimination of distant -lung predominantly- metastases and improvement of local control by reducing the need for large uninvolved soft tissue excision margins at the primary tumour site, which in many cases is difficult due to the complex anatomy of the head and neck region. The role of radiotherapy in head and neck BS is limited, with the exception of Ewing sarcomas. However, radiotherapy is appropriate for the management of residual disease in cases of positive resection margins, when surgical re-excision is not feasible, or when the lesion recurs in anatomically inaccessible areas [11].

Treatment for head and neck STS varies, depending on the specific histopathological type, grade and extent of the tumour. The threshold for using neo-adjuvant chemotherapy may be lower than in soft tissue sarcomas of the extremities, given the challenges of achieving local control. Radical radiotherapy is appropriate for similar indications as for BS. Surgery is implemented in the management of STS in order to maximise the chances of disease



^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of head and neck, University College London Hospital (UCLH), 250 Euston Road, NW12PG London, UK.

control. The role of surgery in STS depends on the resectability of the disease. Its timing -prior or after radiotherapy- depends mainly upon the specific reconstructive aims and the healing potential of radiotherapy-treated tissues. The management strategy is planned and reviewed within a specific sarcoma multidisciplinary setting with radiological, histopathological, oncological and surgical expertise.

This paper reports a cohort of patients with head and neck sarcomas managed by the same surgical and medical team over a period of 13 years. We describe our experience and the evolution of surgical concepts adapted to the histopathological patterns and biological behaviour of these distinct groups of non-epithelial head and neck malignancies.

Materials & methods

This study is in line with our institutional governance protocol. We analysed the records of head and neck oncology patients treated between 1997 and 2010. In this 13 years period the three senior authors (NK, LN and JW) consistently managed all head and neck sarcoma patients.

High grade BS were routinely considered for neo-adjuvant chemotherapy using standard regimens in the absence of significant co-morbidities. In most cases the goal was to complete chemotherapy prior to the definitive surgical resection with the response assessment undertaken using PET-CT [12]. For patients with Ewing sarcomas, the use of radiotherapy instead of surgery was considered on the basis of individual tumour characteristics and response. Patients with chondrosarcomas were treated surgically. Patients with STS underwent primary resection followed by post-operative radiotherapy depending on resection histology.

All statistical analysis was performed using the statistical software package SPSS 12.0 (IBM, New York, USA). Survival estimates (Overall Survival and Event-free survival) have been calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method [13]. Complete follow-up data was available for 101 patients. The follow-up was calculated in months. For the event-free survival estimates, recurrence, metastasis or disease-specific death have been classified as 'event'. The log-rank test was used for uni-variate survival comparison [14]. In chi-square analysis *p* values <0.1 were considered significant.

Results

A total of 107 patients with head and neck sarcomas were identified. The histopathological subtypes of bone and soft tissue sarcomas are illustrated in Table 1.

Bone sarcomas

Fifty-four patients had BS (33 males, 21 females, male/female ratio: 1.6:1). The mean age was 41 years (range 9–81 years). Fifty cases were primary localised bone sarcomas, whereas two were recurrent from previously elsewhere treated tumours, one was already metastatic at presentation and the fourth was a secondary deposit from a non head-neck sarcoma (these cases were not included in the subsequent survival analysis).

Bone sarcomas predominantly arose in the mandible (29/54, 54%), followed by the maxilla (19/54, 34%), whereas six cases occurred in extragnathic locations (6/54, 11%), namely one in the frontal bone, one in the sphenoid bone, one sinonasal and three chondrosarcomas arising from the laryngeal structures. The majority of the tumours (49 out of 54, 91%) were high grade. Eight osteosarcomas were radiation induced.

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was used in 28 osteosarcomas and in the 5 cases of Ewing's sarcomas followed by surgery (Fig. 1). Twenty-five mandibulectomies and 21 maxillectomies were performed, with the type and extent of resections is presented in Table 2 [15,16].

Four cases comprised en bloc resections of both hemi-mandible and maxilla in the context of compartmental excision along with lateral access and base of skull clearance.

In osteosarcomas when histopathology reported involved resection margins, re-excision was performed. Out of 39 surgically treated osteosarcomas where histological data were retrieved, 35 were completely excised. Three mandibular osteosarcoma cases with tumour dimensions above 8 cm were extending into the cranial base were the excision margins were reported involved. The fourth case was a radiation induced sarcoma, with disease extending along the totality of the mandible and a substantial part of the floor of the mouth.

A significant observation was noted early on bone sarcomas centred at the retromolar region extending proximally to the vertical ramus of the mandible. Although Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is considered to be the gold standard in assessing bone marrow invasion, in two cases the extent of the disease in the condylar area was grossly underestimated. In those cases the histopathology analysis demonstrated florid disease infiltration of the condylar head without exceeding the end plate of the cortex causing no cortical alterations and therefore no visible imaging changes (Fig. 2). It is our policy now to perform hemi-mandibulectomy with condylar disarticulation in osteosarcomas that extend into the mandibular ramus. We have performed 12 condylar disarticulation resections, that were subsequently ratified by the histological analysis.

Table 1

Head and neck sarcoma cases breakdown. Histopathological classification and treatment modalities. *MPNST: malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour.

Head & neck sarcomas	Number of cases	Surgery	Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	radiotherapy
Bone sarcomas	54	49/53	33/53	10/53
Osteosarcomas	41	37/41	28/41	5/41
Ewing's sarcomas	5	4/5	5/5	3/5
Chondrosarcomas	8	8/8	0/8	2/8
Soft tissue sarcomas	53	43/53	20/53	29/53
Rhabdomyosarcoma	12	8/12	4/12	6/12
Spindle cell sarcoma	6	6/6	2/6	2/6
Myxofibrosarcoma	5	3/5	1/5	4/5
Synovial sarcoma	3	3/3	1/3	3/3
Neurofibrosarcoma (MPNST [*])	4	4/4	0/4	2/4
Myofibrosarcoma	3	2/3	0/3	0/3
Leiomyosarcoma	5	4/5	2/5	4/5
Liposarcoma	2	2/2	0/2	1/2
Dermatofibrosarcoma	2	2/2	1/2	0/2
Angiosarcoma	1	0/1	0/1	1/1
Alveolar soft part	1	1/1	1/1	1/1
Undifferentiated/pleomorphic	9	8/9	3/9	5/9



Fig. 1. MRI scan of mandibular osteosarcoma. (a) Prior to neoadjuvant chemotherapy; i. Coronal slice. ii. Axial view. (b) Post-6 cycles of MAP chemotherapy; i. Coronal slice. ii. Axial view.



Fig. 2. Discrepancy between extent of tumour marrow invasion as seen in: (a) histological specimen of the condylar head. (b) MRI coronal sections of the same region 2 weeks preoperatively.



Fig. 3. Kaplan-Meier survival estimates for bone sarcomas (n = 51). (a) Overall survival. (b) Event free survival estimates.

The majority of mandibulectomy defects were reconstructed with vascularized composite flaps (15 fibulas, 4 DCIA flaps). In three cases no bone reconstruction was attempted due to substantial co-morbidities and the composite defect was closed using myocutaneous pedicled latissimus dorsi flaps. One patient aged 9 years was reconstructed with a costochondral graft.

The maxillectomy defects were reconstructed primarily with free flaps (three fibulas and one DCIA), the rest been obturated. For bi-maxillary defects one chimeric scapula, one DCIA and two large composite fibula flaps were used.

Radiotherapy (IMRT) was delivered in 10 cases; in four for positive margins in unresectable areas and in the rest for palliation.

Eight low grade chondrosarcomas were operated. In three laryngeal cases with slow growth radical surgery was avoided and tumours were incompletely excised.

Follow-up was recorded for 51 bone sarcoma patients (mean 48 months).

The 2- and 5-year overall survival (OS) estimates were 91% and 73% (data available for n = 51). The 2- and 5-year event-free survival rates for BSs were 66% and 49% (Fig. 3).

Soft tissue sarcomas

Fifty-three patients had STSs (28 male, 25 female, male/female ratio: 1.1:1). Mean age was 43 years (range 7–86 years). Six patients with soft tissue sarcomas had already developed meta-static disease at the time of their presentation. Information about tumour grade was not available for 19 tumours. Out of 34 tumours where grade data was available, 26 (76%) were high grade and 8 (24%) were low grade. Six tumours were radiation induced. Five patients had distant metastatic disease at the time of the diagnosis. Two of these cases were rhabdomyosarcomas, one myxofibrosarcoma, one malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour and one angiosarcoma.

The site distribution of soft tissue sarcomas was widely dispersed. We utilised a site-specific classification based on the anatomical landmarks set by Roon and Christensen [17] and Ohngren [18] to divide the head and neck region in four zones (Fig. 4):

(I) High - above the angle of the mandible. This area is further divided by Ohngren's line (medial canthus to angle of



Fig. 4. Site-specific classification for soft tissue sarcomas in relation to tumour clearance. Green: Completely excised tumours. Red: Tumours excised with one or more positive margins. Blue: Non-operated tumours.

mandible) into the region Ia antero-inferiorly, which encompasses the facial anatomical boundary, and Ib posterosuperiorly, which contains the skull base, infratemporal, pterygopalatine and retrobulbar spaces.

- (II) Middle between the angle of the mandible and the lower border of the cricoid cartilage.
- (III) Low below the cricoid cartilage.

The distribution pattern of the tumours' epicentres is illustrated in Fig. 4.

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery was used in 20 out of 53 STSs, mainly for rhabdomyosarcomas and high grade sarcomas for which resection was anticipated to result in marginal or involved margins. Surgery was employed in 39 cases.

Table 2	
Extent of mandibulectomies and maxillectomies.	

Mandibulectomies		Maxillectomies	Maxillectomies			
Bone sarcomas						
N = 25		N = 21				
HLCL	3	Limited	11			
HLC	3	Subtotal	8			
HL	8	Total	2			
LC	6	Radical (incl. orbit)	0			
L	4					
С	1					
Soft tissue sarcoma	s					
N = 9		N = 7				
HL	4	Limited	0			
LCL	1	Subtotal	3			
LC	1	Total	2			
L	3	Radical (incl. orbit)	2			

The mandibulectomies have been classified according to Boyd et al., where H = Hemimandible including the condyle (disarticulation), L = Lateral (body) of mandible and C = Central anterior segment encompassing the symphyseal region. The maxillectomies have been classified according to Spiro et al.

The tumours were resected by wide compartmental excision. For tumours sited in zone I, 9 mandibulectomies and 6 maxillectomies were performed, including 3 cases were en bloc mandibulo-maxillary resections along with skull base clearance were performed (Table 2). Sixteen free flap reconstructions were performed.

Clear surgical margins were achieved in 25/39 (64%) of the cases (Fig. 4). Clearance was achieved in 72% of zone Ia, 33% of zone Ib (59% in Zone I in total), 80% of zone II and 50% of zone III tumours. The difference in tumour clearance between zone Ia and Ib was significant. In zone III 3/5 of tumours were unresectable due to extension into the thoracic cavity with subsequent invasion of vital structures.

Radiotherapy was delivered in a total of 29 cases. In 11 out of 43 operated cases radiotherapy was administered for positive margins in unresectable disease.

The 2- and 5-year overall survival rates were 67% and 56% respectively (data available for n = 50) (Fig. 5). The 2- and 5-year event-free survival rates were 54% and 47%, respectively.

The survival rates of all the cases divided by histological type are demonstrated in Table 3.

Discussion

Sarcomas are a widely heterogeneous group of malignant tumours. Their rarity in the head and neck region accounts for the lack of consistency in the literature regarding their management and outcomes. There are no randomised controlled studies and the existing metanalyses provide controversial results [19,20].

In this study, we report the outcomes of a large BS and STS series. Mean age at presentation and site distribution were consistent with those published in the literature [21,22]. Soft tissue sarcomas series are largely variable due to the rarity, diversity and heterogeneity of these tumours [23].

In our series, the majority of bone (91%) and soft tissue (76%) sarcomas were high-grade. The incidence of high-grade tumours in the head and neck region is reported to vary between 56% and 79% in osteosarcomas [24,25].

Surgery for sarcomas is based on the principles of oncological ablative and reconstructive surgery, however distinct factors related to the disease characteristics and the usage of neoadjuvant chemotherapy dictate additional variables in the concept of their excision. The mesenchymal origin of these tumours in contrast with the majority of the head and neck epithelial-derived cancers, predisposes to a different pattern of disease spread and potentially different extent of cancellous bone infiltration (Fig. 6).

The importance of surgical resection of BSs with clear margins has been emphasized in the paper of Chen et al. [26]. Regarding the target resection margin, some groups advocate a 3 cm macroscopic margin [8]. In conventional trunk and extremities osteosarcomas, most studies suggest that a 2 cm macroscopic margin is adequate [27,28]. This has been reinforced by the input of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, which potentially eliminates microsatellite tumour deposits that extend beyond the primary tumour [29,30]. An important key-point is, that where neoadjuvant treatment (chemotherapy) has been employed, the resection should be planned based on the pre-treatment scans. Surgical planning based solely on post-neo-adjuvant treatment imaging, especially in cases with radiologic good response, may be misleading due to the frequently encountered partial and certainly non-concentric pattern of tumour "shrinkage".

A simplistic geometrical approach of 'measuring' centimetres around the tumour in the anatomically complex head and neck region is not applicable. Our experience has shown that sufficient



Fig. 5. Kaplan-Meier survival estimates for soft tissue sarcomas (n = 50). (a) Overall survival. (b) Event free survival estimates.

 Table 3

 Survival estimates of Head & Neck sarcomas based on the histopathological type.

Histology	N	2-year overall survival	Standard error (SE)	5-year overall survival	Standard error (SE)	2-year event-free survival	Standard error (SE)	5-year event-free survival	Standard error (SE)
Bone sarcomas									
Osteosarcomas (LG)	4	0.75	0.22	0.75	0.22	0.75	0.217	0.75	0.217
Osteosarcomas (HG)	26	0.95	0.046	0.74	0.11	0.783	0.88	0.595	0.116
Ewing's sarcomas	5	0.8	0.179	0.8	0.179	0.8	0.179	0.8	0.179
Chondrosarcomas	8	1.0		1.0		0.75	0.153	0.225	0.185
Soft tissue sarcomas									
Rhabdomyosarcomas	11	0.53	0.155	0.265	0.203	0.545	0.150	0.364	0.179
Myxofibrosarcomas	5	0.8	0.179	0.53	0.248	0.8	0.179	0.533	0.248
Pleomorphic sarcomas	5	0.8	0.179	0.533	0.248	0.8	0.179	0.6	0.219
Spindle cell sarcomas	4	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.25		
Leiomyosarcoma	4	0.5	0.25			0.5	0.25		
Myofibrosarcoma	3	0.667	0.272			0.33	0.272		
Synovial sarcoma	3	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.354	0.5	0.354
Neurofibrosarcoma (MPNST)	2	0.5	0.354			0.5	0.354		
Dermatofibrosarcoma	2	1.0				1.0			
Liposarcoma	2	1.0				1.0			
Angiosarcoma	1	0				0			
Alveolar soft part	1	1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0	
Radiation induced sarcomas	14	0.643	0.128	0.429	0.15	0.286	0.121	0.286	0.121



Fig. 6. Schematic presentation of tumour invasion pathway in the mandible. (a) Epithelial tumour arising from mucosa infiltrating through the cortex into the medullary space (Out \rightarrow In). (b) Osteosarcoma arising from the medullary space infiltrating the bone marrow and penetrating through the bone into the soft tissues (In \rightarrow Out).

margins are only achieved when performing a wide 'compartmental' excision, aiming at large bony margins with concomitant complete excision of the surrounding soft tissue envelope. The removal of the involved anatomical compartment has to be performed in a fashion wide enough to encompass all potentially involved structures taking into account the potential of bone marrow involvement beyond the radiological reported 'margins' (Fig. 2). To this end frank hemi-mandibulectomy and even condylar disarticulation have to be considered when the tumour, especially a high-grade osteosarcoma, extends to the mandibular ramus. Preservation of a small condylar segment may have little to offer in the subsequent reconstruction but most importantly can inhibit access to the base of skull when this is needed (i.e. infratemporal fossa involvement) jeopardising soft tissue clearance. In our experience mandibular reconstruction with composite fibula facilitates satisfactory mouth opening, while -not uncommonly- we have noticed that preservation of a small condylar segment may result in "late" anterior-medial displacement due to lateral pterygoid muscle traction. In this reported series of osteosarcomas, surgical clearance was achieved in 85% of the cases.

In soft tissue sarcomas the aim is a macroscopic margin of 1–3 cm where possible [31]. The importance of the marginal status in the disease free and overall survival has been clearly demon-

strated [9,23,31]. It is widely accepted that surgical clearance in STSs is more difficult to achieve [9,10,23,32]. Reasons are the biological aggressiveness of soft tissue sarcomas and the pattern of infiltration into the neighbouring vital neurovascular structures limiting their amenability for radical resection. Surgical clearance in our series of soft tissue sarcomas was achieved in 25/39 (64%) of our cases. The experience gained from this series suggests that tumours arising within zone Ib or large tumours form adjacent areas extending into it are particularly difficult to clear. Eleven out of 14 incompletely excised head and neck soft tissue sarcomas were in zone I (6 arising within zone Ib and 5 extending from Ia into zone Ib). This site-specific classification especially for soft tissue sarcomas can serve as a potential prognosticator aiding the decision-making algorithm when initially planning the management of these patients. In tumours confined in zone Ia surgery plays a pivotal role, whereas in zone Ib tumours poor surgical outcomes may be encountered.

In this series the overall survival estimate for BSs (n = 51) reached 91% at 2 years and 73% at 5 years, whilst for STSs (n = 50) 67% at 2 years and 56% at 5 years, respectively. Corresponding studies report overall survival rates of 84% at 2 years and 61% at 5 years for mixed bone and soft tissue tumours [10], 79% at 2 years and 74% at 5 years [22] and 63% at 5 years [21] for

BSs, and 57% at 5 years for STSs (n = 50) [33]. In our series the bone sarcomas displayed significantly better overall survival probability (p = 0.0029, Log-rank test) comparing to soft tissue sarcomas.

Although the outcomes of this series are comparable to the majority published in the literature, we believe that comparisons of survival estimates among different studies are difficult, due to the heterogeneity of histopathological types, the variations in the composition of studied populations and the diversities in the follow-up time spans. For example, the survival outcomes reported in our series, are the cumulative ones for all the patients managed in our centre, including cases with secondary or metastatic tumours. Interestingly, in our cohort we included 14 cases of radiation-induced tumours. Radiation-induced sarcomas are associated with significantly poorer outcome than that of the stagematched irradiation-independent counterpart sarcomas, with overall 5-year survival rates as low as 25%. The attributing factors include delay in diagnosis, biological aggressiveness, chemotherapy resistance and the limitation in treatment options, especially the ineligibility for radiotherapy and the technical difficulties in obtaining clear margins because of frequent proximity of the tumours to major neurovascular structures [34-36].

As a retrospective analysis, the current study is subjected to limitations. In this series the wide histopathological diversity, especially with the soft tissue sarcomas comprising a conglomerate of heterogeneous subtypes and anatomical locations, did not allow stratified multi-variate analysis.

A meta-analysis including all series published by different centres, or even randomised clinical trials will be useful to address fundamental questions in the field of head and neck sarcomas management.

Conclusions

Surgery has to consider the degree of bone marrow infiltration and aim for a wide 'compartmental' excision with large bony margins and sufficient surgical clearance of the soft tissue envelope in the surgical treatment of bone sarcomas. Soft tissue sarcomas are histologically extremely diverse and surgery should be the primary modality of treatment in relatively small disease burden or cases of low/moderate grading.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

References

- Fletcher Christopher DM, Unni K Krishnan, Mertens Fredrik. Pathology and genetics of tumours of soft tissue and bone. In: World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. IARC Press 5; 2002. IARC WHO Classification of Tumours No 5.
- [2] Burningham Z, Hashibe M, Spector L, Schiffman JD. The epidemiology of sarcoma. Clin Sarcoma Res 2012;2(1):14.
- [3] Whelan J, McTiernan A, Cooper N, et al. Incidence and survival of malignant bone sarcomas in England 1979–2007. Int J Cancer 2012;131(4):E508–17.
- [4] http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/cancer-info/cancerstats/keyfacts/softtissue-sarcoma/.2015.
- [5] http://www.ncin.org.uk/cancer_type_and_topic_specific_work/cancer_type_
- specific_work/sarcomas/.2015.
 [6] Oda D, Bavisotto LM, Schmidt RA, et al. Head and neck osteosarcoma at the University of Washington 1997;19:513–23.

- [7] August M, Magennis P, Dewitt D. Osteogenic sarcoma of the jaws: factors influencing prognosis. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1997;26(3):198–204.
- [8] Fernandes R, Kolokythas A, Pazoki A, Nikitakis NG, Ord RA. Sarcomas of the head and neck: a 10 year experience with 37 patients at the University of Maryland. Oral Oncol 2005;1(1):131–2.
- [9] Kraus DH, Dubner S, Harrison LB, et al. Prognostic factors for recurrence and survival in head and neck soft-tissue sarcomas 1994;74:697–702.
- [10] Mucke T, Mitchell DA, Tannapfel A, et al. Outcome in adult patients with head and neck sarcomas – a 10-year analysis. J Surg Oncol 2010;102(2):170–4.
- [11] Grimer R, Athanasou N, Gerrand C, et al. UK guidelines for the management of bone sarcomas. Sarcoma 2010;2010:317462.
- [12] Frezza AM, Beale T, Bomanji J, et al. Is [F-18]-fluorodeoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography of value in the management of patients with craniofacial bone sarcomas undergoing neo-adjuvant treatment? BMC Cancer 2014;14:23.
- [13] Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric-estimation from incomplete observations. J Am Stat Assoc 1958;53(282):457–81.
- [14] Peto R, Pike MC, Armitage P, et al. Design and analysis of randomized clinicaltrials requiring prolonged observation of each patient. 2. Analysis and examples. Br J Cancer 1977;35(1):1–39.
- [15] Boyd JB, Gullane PJ, Rotstein LE, Brown DH, Irish JC. Classification of mandibular defects. Plast Reconstr Surg 1993;92(7):1266–75.
- [16] Spiro RH, Strong EW, Shah JP. Maxillectomy and its classification. Head Neck 1997;19(4):309–14.
 [17] Roon AJ, Christensen N. Evaluation and treatment of penetrating cervical
- injuries. J Trauma 1979;19(6):391–7. [18] Ohngren LG. Malignant tumors of the maxillo-ethmoidal region. Acta
- Otolaryngol 1933;Supplement 19:101–6.
- [19] Kassir RR, Rassekh CH, Kinsella JB, Segas J, Carrau RL, Hokanson JA. Osteosarcoma of the head and neck: meta-analysis of nonrandomized studies 1997;107:56–61.
- [20] Smeele LE, Kostense PJ, vanderWaal I, Snow GB. Effect of chemotherapy on survival of craniofacial osteosarcoma: a systematic review of 201 patients 1997;15:363–7.
- [21] Guadagnolo BA, Zagars GK, Raymond K, Benjamin RS, Sturgis EM. Osteosarcoma of the jaw/craniofacial region outcomes after multimodality treatment. Cancer 2009;115(14):3262–70.
- [22] Jasnau S, Meyer U, Potratz J, et al. Craniofacial osteosarcoma experience of the cooperative German-Austrian-Swiss osteosarcoma study group. Oral Oncol 2008;44(3):286–94.
- [23] Patel SG, Shaha AR, Shah JP. Soft tissue sarcomas of the head and neck: an update 2001;22:2-18.
- [24] Mardinger O, Givol N, Talmi YP, Taicher S, Saba K, Hashomer T. Osteosarcoma of the jaw - the Chaim Sheba Medical Center experience. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2001;91(4):445–51.
- [25] Clark JL, Unni KK, Dahlin DC, Devine KD. Osteo-Sarcoma of the Jaw. Cancer 1983;51(12):2311–6.
- [26] Chen Y, Shen Q, Gokavarapu S, et al. Osteosarcoma of head and neck: a retrospective study on prognostic factors from a single institute database. Oral Oncol 2016;58:1–7.
- [27] Enneking WF, Spanier SS, Goodman MA. A system for the surgical staging of musculoskeletal sarcoma 1980;106–20.
- [28] Kawaguchi N, Ahmed AR, Matsumoto S, Manabe J, Matsushita Y. The concept of curative margin in surgery for bone and soft tissue sarcoma 2004;165–72.
- [29] Picci P, Sangiorgi L, Rougraff BT, Neff JR, Casadei R, Campanacci M. Relationship of chemotherapy-induced necrosis and surgical margins to local recurrence in osteosarcoma 1994;12:2699–705.
- [30] Li X, Moretti VM, Ashana AO, Lackman RD. Impact of close surgical margin on local recurrence and survival in osteosarcoma. Int Orthop 2012;36(1):131–7.
- [31] Zagars GK. Surgical margins and reresection in the management of patients with soft tissue sarcoma using conservative surgery and radiation therapy 2003.
- [32] Wanebo HJ, Koness RJ, Macfarlane JK, et al. Head and neck sarcoma report of the head and neck sarcoma registry 1992;14:1–7.
- [33] Van Damme JP, Schmitz S, Machiels JP, et al. Prognostic factors and assessment of staging systems for head and neck soft tissue sarcomas in adults. EJSO 2010;36(7):684–90.
- [34] Cahan WG, Woodard HQ, Higinbotham NL, Stewart FW, Coley BL. Sarcoma arising in irradiated bone - report of eleven cases 1998;82:8–34.
- [35] Gutierrez VF, Pendas JLL, Pelaz AC, Farpon RC, Nieto CS. Radiation-induced sarcomas of the head and neck 2008;19:1287–91.
- [36] Huber GF, Matthews TW, Dort JC. Radiation-induced soft tissue sarcomas of the head and neck. J Otolaryngol 2007;36(2):93–7.